

KARNATAKA STATE AID TO INDUSTRIES (AMENDMENT)
BILL, 1978.

Motion to Consider

SRI AZEEZ SAIT (Minister for Industries and Commerce).—Sir, I move :

“That the Karnataka State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1978 be taken into consideration.”

The question was proposed.

Sir, this is a very small amendment. Hitherto, the power to take final action against the defaulters was vested with the Government. Now this power is proposed to be delegated to the Director of Industries and Commerce. It is only a delegation of power, and I commend the amending Bill for the acceptance of the House.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :—

“That the Karnataka State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1978 be taken into consideration.

The motion was adopted.

CLAUSES 2 and 3

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :—

“That Clauses 2 and 3, do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3, were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1, etc,

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :—

“That Clause 1, the long Title the Preamble and the Enacting Formula do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the long Title the Preamble, and the Enacting Formula were added to the Bill.

Motion to Pass

SRI AZEEZ SAIT.—Sir, I move :

“That the Karnataka State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, 1978 be passed.”

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :—

“That the Karnataka State Aid to Industries (Amendment) Bill, be passed.”

The motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

KARNATAKA INDUSTRIALS AREA DEVELOPMENT (AMENDMENT) BILL 1978.

Motion to consider

SRI AZEEZ SAIT (Minister for Industries).—I move.

“That the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development (Amendment) Bill 1978, be taken into consideration.”

The question was proposed

This amendment bill is very simple in nature and character. There was no provision under the Act to demolish the unauthorised construction in the industrial areas. There was provision only to punish the persons. It had become difficult to enforce the regulations formulated under the scheme. Therefore it was felt necessary that such a provision should be brought before this House.

SRI A. LAKSHMISAGAR.—I ask the hon'ble minister to furnish to the house the extent of the problem, the areas where this problem has arisen and the magnitude of the problem. The Minister only read out from the statement of objects and reasons appended to the Bill. But I would like to know the magnitude of the problem and the number of persons who would be affected by it. This is very necessary to enable us to offer our comments.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಶೀರಾಳಿ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರ್.—ತಾವು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ನೋಟೀಫೈ ಆಗಿ ದೆಯೇ ಎನ್ನುವ ಒಂದು ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಹಾಕಬೇಕು. ಒಂದು ಕನ್ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಇದು ಅನ್ವಯ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ? ನೋಟೀಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಲೇ ಕನ್ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಅಂಥಾದ್ದನ್ನು ಡೆಮಾಲಿಷ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಂತ ಹೊರಟರೆ ಅದರಿಂದ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ? ಅಂಥಾದ್ದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಿ?

SRI AZEEZ SAIT.—The provisions of this Act does not apply to the areas other than the areas covered under the Industrial Area Development Board. The problem arises only after the Industrial Area Development Board acquires the property for purposes of forming the layouts and after the sites are allotted to the industrialists. In the Act, only punishment was proposed. Sub-clauses (1) and (2) of section 34 proposed penalty for construction or use of land and buildings contrary to terms of holding. The question of demolishing of private